► AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial			
UNITED ST.	ATES DISTR	ICT COURT NEBRA	FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT A GHSTRICT OF NEBRASK
TO WITTO OF A VERYOR	District of	NEDK/	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ODDE	D OF DETENTION	2009 DEC 22 PM 2: 50
JUAN RAMIREZ-REY Defendant	Case	4:09MJ3043	OFFICE OF THE CLERK
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	2(f), a detention hearing h	as been held. I conclude that	the following facts require the
Part I—Findings of Fact			
☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in or local offense that would have been a federal offense ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31: ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is li ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprison	se if a circumstance giving 56(a)(4). fe imprisonment or death.	g rise to federal jurisdiction h	federal offense state ad existed that is
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
Alternative Findings (A)			
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estab the appearance of the defendant as required and the s	lished by finding 1 that no		conditions will reasonably assure
Alternative Findings (B)			
 (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. 			
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention			
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepon-			
derance of the evidence that Conserts to detention due to I Ce detainer			
De CHIL Die et a De Chie De Chie			
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Countries to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving servers as the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving servers on the extent practicable of the corrections facility shin connection with a court proceeding.	entences or being held in counsel. On order of a co	epresentative for confinement custody pending appeal. The purt of the United States or of	e defendant shall be afforded a in request of an attorney for the
Date	1	Signature of Judicial Officer rd G. Kopf, U.S. District Judg	, V
		e and Title of Judicial Officer	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).